Luther.



Indonesia News

Indonesia Transfer Pricing Profile
Published By The OECD

November 2017

Indonesia Transfer Pricing Profile Published By The OECD

Following the revision of the Transfer Pricing Guidelines published by the OECD resulting from the Base Erosion Profit Shifting – "Beps" – Project, many countries have reflected the new changes in their respective domestic laws.

The OECD has conducted a survey of the changes among 31 countries by way of a questionnaire. Participant countries have filled out the questionnaire on a voluntarily basis and returned it to the OECD for publication.

Based on it, the OECD has published a per-country profile reflecting the current countries' domestic legislation regarding transfer pricing.

We have reproduced hereafter the transfer pricing profile of Indonesia as published in October 2017 by the OECD¹.

Source: OECD Website (http://www.OECD.org/tax/transfer-pricing/ transfer-pricing-country-profiles.htm)

The	Arm's Length Principle						
1	Does your domestic legislation or regulation make reference to the Arm's Length Principle?	⊠ Yes □ No					
2	What is the role of the OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines under your domestic legislation?	As reference for formulating our transfer pricing policy and regulation and for dispute settlement.					
3	Does your domestic legislation or regulation provide a definition of related parties? If so, please provide the definition contained under your domestic law or regulation.	 The term related parties means: a. a Taxpayer who owns directly or indirectly at least 25% of equity of other Taxpayers; a relationship between Taxpayer through ownership of at least 25% of equity of two or more Taxpayer, as well as relationship between two or more Taxpayer concerned; b. a Taxpayer who controls other Taxpayer) or two or more Taxpayers are directly or indirectly under the same control (through management or technology even though there is no ownership); or c. a family relationship either through blood or through marriage within one degree of direct or indirect lineage. 					
Tran	sfer Pricing Method						
4	Does your domestic legislation provide for transfer pricing methods to be used in respect of transactions between related parties?	Cup	native, please c Resale Price ⊠ omestic legislat que, market bas	Cost Plus ⊠ ion also allo	Tnmm ⊠	Profit Split ⊠ se of other me	Other (If so, please describe)
5	Which criterion is used in your jurisdiction for the application of transfer pricing methods?	Please check all that apply: ☐ Hierarchy of methods ☑ Most appropriate method ☐ Other (if so, please explain) Indonesia adopts the most appropriate method. However, if traditional transaction method and a transactional profit method can be applied in an equally reliable manner, the traditional transaction method is preferable to the transactional profit method. Moreover, where the comparable uncontrolled price method (Cup) and another transfer pricing method can be applied in an equally reliable manner, the Cup method is to be preferred.					
6	If your domestic legislation or regulations contain specific guidance on commodity transactions, indicate which of the following approaches is followed.	□ For controlled transactions involving commodities, the guidance contained in paragraphs 2.18-2.22 of the Tpg is followed. □ Domestic legislation mandates the use of a specific method for controlled transactions involving commodities (if so, please explain) □ Other (if so, please explain) Transfer pricing regulation does not contain specific mention to a method to be used for commodity. However, in our regulation regarding transfer pricing documentation we encourage the use of Cup for commodity					

Com	parability Analysis	
7	Does your jurisdiction follow (or largely follow) the guidance on comparability analysis outlined in Chapter lii of the Tpg?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No We adopt 5 comparability analysis that includes: a. characteristic of property transferred or services provided; b. functional analysis; c. contractual terms of the transactions; d. economic circumstances; and e. business strategies.
8	Is there a preference in your jurisdiction for domestic comparables over foreign comparables?	☐ Yes ☑ No Indonesia transfer pricing guidelines do not specifically mention that local comparable is preferable, but in practice the local comparable is preferred.
9	Does your tax administration use secret comparables for transfer pricing assessment purposes?	☐ Yes ☑ No In existing regulation, there is no restriction to use secret comparables. However, until so far we have not yet made use of any secret comparables in audit or litigation.
10	Does your legislation allow or require the use of an arm's length range and/or statistical measure for determining arm's length remuneration?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No Indonesia use interquartile range (Q1-Q3) on applying arm's length principles.
11	Are comparability adjustments required under your domestic legislation or regulations?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No When necessary and possible, comparability adjustment can be made to eliminate the effect of any differences to the price or to the profit being tested.
Intar	ngible Properties	
12	Does your domestic legislation or regulations contain guidance specific to the pricing of controlled transactions involving intangibles?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No Indonesia follows the guidance provided in OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines 2010 version. We are now in the process to revise the current regulation, by which recommendation in Beps 8-10 will be further embodied.
13	Does your domestic legislation or regulation provide for transfer pricing rules or special measures regarding hard to value intangibles (Htvi)?	☐ Yes ☑ No
14	Are there any other rules outside transfer pricing rules that are relevant for the tax treatment of transactions involving intangibles?	□ Yes ☑ No

Intra	a-Group Services	
15	Does your domestic legislation or regulations provide guidance specific to intra-group services transactions?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No Regulation on intra-group services transactions is in line with OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines 2010 version.
16	Do you have any simplified approach for low value-adding intra-group services?	□ Yes ☑ No
17	Are there any other rules outside transfer pricing rules that are relevant for the tax treatment of transactions involving services?	☐ Yes ☑ No
Cos	t Contribution Agreements	
Cus	Continuation Agreements	
18	Does your jurisdiction have legislation or regulations on cost contribution agreements?	☑ Yes☐ NoIndonesia follows the guidance provided in OECD Transfer Pricing Guidelines 2010 version.
Trar	nsfer Pricing Documentation	
19	Does your legislation or regulations require the taxpayer to prepare transfer pricing documentation?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No If affirmative, please check all that apply: ☑ Master file consistent with Annex I to Chapter V of the Tpg ☑ Local file consistent with Annex Ii to Chapter V of the Tpg ☑ Country-by-country report consistent with Annex Iii to Chapter V of the Tpg ☐ Specific transfer pricing returns (separate or annexed to the tax return) ☐ Other (specify):
20	Please briefly explain the relevant requirements related to filing of transfer pricing documentation (i.e. timing for preparation or submission, languages, etc.)	Timing for availability: a. Masterfile and local file: 4 months after the fiscal year ended b. CbCR: 12 months after fiscal year ended Timing for submission a. Masterfile and local file: on request by Dgt CbCR: together with the submission of subsequent year's tax return and taxpayer is

after the fiscal year ended

The penalty is governed in the General Provisions and Tax Procedures Law.

☐ Yes

⊠ No

21

Does your legislation provide

for specific transfer pricing

penalties and/or compliance

incentives regarding transfer pricing documentation?

If your legislation provides for exemption from transfer pricing documentation obligations, please explain.

Taxpayer who does not meet the following criteria is exempt for transfer pricing documentation obligation:

- a. annual gross turnover in the preceding taxable year no more than 50billion Rupiah;
- b. annual value of related party transaction in the preceding taxable year:
 - 1. no more than 20billion Rupiah for tangible goods transaction; or
 - 2. no more than 5billion Rupiah for each of service provision, interest payment, utilization of intangible goods, or any other related party transactions; or
- c. have no related party transaction in a country or jurisdiction with income tax rate lower than income tax rate as referred to in Article 17 of Income Tax Law.

In addition, taxpayer that has an Apa agreement with Dgt is exempt to file transfer pricing documentation for the specific transaction that is covered in the agreement.

Administrative Approaches To Avoiding And Resolving Disputes			
23	Which mechanisms are available in your jurisdiction to prevent and/or resolve transfer pricing disputes?	Please check those that apply: □ Rulings □ Enhanced engagement programs ☑ Advance Pricing Agreements (Apa) ☑ Unilateral Apas ☑ Bilateral Apas ☑ Multilateral Apas ☑ Mutual Agreement Procedures ☑ Other (please specify): The dispute can also be solved through objection and appeal process. We basically don't have Multilateral Apa program. However, if the covered transactions and covered entities are from more than 2 countries, the Apa can be conducted by applying multiple Bilateral Apas with the countries concerned. For example, the covered entities in the requested Apa are Indonesia, X country, and Y country. Then, Indonesia would conduct Bapa program with Country X and Country Y simultaneously.	
24	Does your jurisdiction have rules on safe harbours in respect of certain industries, types of taxpayers, or types of transactions?	☐ Yes ☑ No	
25	Does your jurisdiction have any other simplification measures not listed in this questionnaire? If so, please provide a brief explanation.	No.	

Other Legislative Aspects Or Administrative Procedures			
26	Does your jurisdiction allow/ require taxpayers to make year- end adjustments?	 ☑ Yes ☐ No Taxpayers are allowed to make year-end adjustments based on self-assessment system. 	
27	Does your jurisdiction make secondary adjustments?	☑ Yes ☐ No There is also a possibility that equity is stated as debt, namely disguised equity. In such case, the Director General of Taxes is authorized to characterize debt as equity. This re-characterization may be made by comparing the ratio of the company's liabilities to the company's equity of independent parties, or based on other data. As a result, interest paid with respect to that debt is not deductible and in the hands of shareholders the payment is considered as dividend which is subject to tax.	

Othe	Other Relevant Information			
28	Other legislative aspects or administrative procedures regarding transfer pricing	N/A		
29	Other relevant information (e.g. whether your jurisdiction is preparing new transfer pricing regulations, or other relevant aspects not addressed in this questionnaire)	Indonesia is in the process of preparing a new Minister of Finance Regulation concerning transfer pricing guideline. Indonesia is also in the process to revise the current regulation, by which recommendation in Beps 8-10 will be further adopted. Safe harbours measures will be introduced in the next regulation.		

For further guidance, information and assistance please feel free to contact us anytime.



Philipp Kersting
Director
Luther Corporate Services Sdn Bhd
Singapore
Phone: +65 6408 8000
Philipp.Kersting@luther-services.com



Alexandre Gourdan, LL.M. International Taxation,
New York University
Luther LLP
Singapore
Phone +65 64088104
alexandre.gourdan@luther-lawfirm.com

Imprint

Luther LLP | 4 Battery Road | Bank of China Building, #25-01 | Singapore 049908 | Phone +65 6408 8000 | Fax +65 6408 8001

Editor: Alexandre Gourdan, LL.M. (New York University), 4 Battery Road, Bank of China Building #25-01, Singapore 049908, Phone: +65 6408 8000, Mobile: +65 9772 6288, Fax: +65 6408 8001, alexandre.gourdan@luther-lawfirm.com

information contained herein with our written consent, if you do so accurately and cite us as the source. Please contact the editors in this regard

Copyright: These texts are protected by copyright. You may make use of the

contact@luther-lawfirm.com

Disclaimer

Although every effort has been made to offer current and correct information, this publication has been prepared to provide information on recent regulatory and legal developments in Indonesia only. It is not exhaustive and thus does not cover all topics with which it deals. It will not be updated and cannot substitute individual legal and/or tax advice. This publication is distributed with the understanding that Luther, the editors and authors cannot be held responsible for the results of any actions taken on the basis of information contained herein or omitted, nor for any errors or omissions in this regard.

Luther Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH and Luther LLP advise in all areas of business law. Our clients include medium-sized companies and large corporations, as well as the public sector.

Berlin, Brussels, Cologne, Dusseldorf, Essen, Frankfurt a.M., Hamburg, Hanover, Leipzig,

London, Luxembourg, Munich, Shanghai, Singapore, Stuttgart, Yangon

Luther Corporate Services: Delhi-Gurgaon, Kuala Lumpur, Shanghai, Singapore, Yangon

Your contact:

Philipp Kersting, philipp.kersting@luther-services.com

Further contacts can be found on our website www.luther-services.com.

